

United States Fatent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/126,505	09	0/24/1993	JOHN P. ATKINSON	WU101CIP	8768	
23579	7590	08/14/2003				
PATREA L			EXAMINER			
	ONE ATI	ANTIC CENTER	KUNZ, GARY L			
ATLANTA.		REE STREET, N.E 9-3400	. .	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1647	47	
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary		<u> </u>	Group Art Unit					
<i>y</i>	Examiner	niner						
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	beneath the co	orrespondence a	ddress				
Period for Response								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{\mathcal{J}}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.								
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statu t, expire SIX (6) MONTH	tory minimum of the Strom the mailing	nirty (30) days will be g date of this commun	considered timely. ication .				
Status								
Responsive to communication(s) filed on pET/T/	ON FILED	6-13	-03					
☐ This action is FINAL.								
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disp sition of Claims								
Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 8-16, 18-20, 23-32	is/are ¡	is/are pending in the application.						
	ia/ara s	ia/ara withdrawn from consideration						
Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 10-16, 18-20, 26-	is/are	is/are allowed.						
Claim(s) $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{5}$, $\frac{10 - 16}{18 - 20}$, $\frac{26 - 3}{26}$. Claim(s) $\frac{8}{9}$, $\frac{9}{10}$, $\frac{10}{10}$, 10	is/are ı	is/are rejected.						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are objected to.						
☐ Claim(s)—	are sul	are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers		require	ment.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.								
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.								
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)								
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)								
☐ received in Application No. (Genes Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).								
*Certified copies not received:								
Attachment(s)								
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	Interview Sumr	riew Summary, PTO-413						
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Inform	e of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other						
Office A	cti n Summary							

Serial Number: 08/126,505

Art Unit: 1647

In view of applicant's petition filed June 13, 2003 requesting that all of the remaining species of fusion proteins be examined, FINALITY is withdrawn and prosecution on the merits is reopened and all pending claims 1, 3-5, 8-16, 18-20, 23-32, and 34 are under examination. Therefore, the petition filed June 13, 2003 is now mooted.

The rejection of claims 1, 3, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 23, 24, 27, 28, and 30 – 32 as being obvious over Lowell et al. in view of Fearon et al., Cara, Atkinson et al., and Bell et al. was reversed by decision of Board mailed February 3, 2003.

The rejection of claims 8, 9, 23, and 24under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite was affirmed by the decision of the Board mailed February 3, 2003. This same issue appears in claim 25. Therefore, this claim is also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for being vague and indefinite.

With regard to this indefiniteness rejection, the Board stated:

Claims 8, 9, 23, and 24 have been determined by the examiner to be indefinite for a very specific reason, i.e., "it is not clear whether all instances of a given residue are to be substituted, i.e. wither[sic] all "I" residues are replaced by either L or V or only some of the I residues, or only a single I residue, and if so, which one." Examiner's Answer, page 4. Appellant's arguments in the Appeal Brief in regard to this rejection do not acknowledge the specific reasons the examiner gives for this rejection. See Appeal Brief, pages 12 – 13. The examiner has identified a plausible ambiguity in the claims. It is appellant's responsibility then to argue the specifics of the examiner's position. This has not happened.

An ambiguous claim is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. <u>In re Zletz</u>, 893 F.2d 319, 321-322,

13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989). Appellants have not directly argued the propriety of the rejection as made in the Examiner's Answer. Under there circumstances we will affirm the examiner's rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Claims 1, 3 - 5, 10 - 16, 18 - 20, 26 - 32, and 34 are allowable.

Claims 8, 9, 23 - 25 are rejected.

All communications concerning this Office action should be directed to Gary L. Kunz whose telephone number is 703-308-4623.

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600